UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,721	03/11/2005	Clifford L. Jordan	P56713US	8538
Robert E Bushn	7590 04/25/200 nell	EXAMINER		
Attorney at Law	V	ALI, SHUMAYA B		
Suite 300 1522 K Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DO	ℂ 20005-1202	3771		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/522,721	JORDAN, CLIFFORD L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHUMAYA B. ALI	3771	

	SHUMAYA B. ALI	3771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 8 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		THOTRE ET WAS TO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2	f in compliance with 27 CED 41 27	must be filed within to	o months of the			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 March 2008</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
	21 See attached Nation of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment (	DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpilant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		imal, filed emandme	ot concoling the			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>54-58 and 71-76</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>60-64</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>59</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
/Justine R Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771	/Shumaya B. Ali / Examiner, Art Unit 3771					

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's argument with respect to, "What the Examiner has improperly ignored however, is that Applicant's Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.76 expressly claims, under the Domestic Benefit/National State Information, is in the express language of Applicant's Application Data Sheet 37 CFR1.76, that accompanied the filing of Applicant's above-captioned application, is sufficient to invoke the absolute prohibition (e.g., shall not be used as a reference ...against a divisional application) of citation of Applicant's parent application to support any type of rejection of any claim in this divisional application. This rejection is therefore illegal under 35 U.S.C. § 121. Its withdrawal is respectfully urged" (see remark filed on 3/27/08, pages 7-14) is not persuasive. In the parent case (Jordan US 6,820,616), the Examiner made a restriction requirement between the sets of method and apparatus claims. In response to the requirement, Applicant cancelled method claims 38-53. It should be noted that in the instant/divisional application, Applicant filed both method and apparatus claims. Thus, the divisional application is not a "true divisional" application and can still be considered a "continuation" application because it contains apparatus claims. Applicant is reminded that whether his applicant is called a "Divisional" application or not, double patenting is proper between the sets of apparatus claims in the parent case and divisional application. Thus the obvious type double patenting rejection to apparatus claim 59 in the instant case using the parent case apparatus claims was proper. As apparatus claims remains under examination in the divisional application, Applicant is being required to change the status of the case from divisional to continuation.

/Shumaya B. Ali / Examiner, Art Unit 3771